arXiv:1609.01093v2 [math.OA] 1 Oct 2016

FINITE DIMENSIONAL APPROXIMATION PROPERTIES OF C^*-MODULES

MASSOUD AMINI

Abstract. We study nuclearity and exactness for module maps on C^*-algebras which are C^*-module over another C^*-algebra with compatible actions and study finite dimensional approximation properties of such C^*-modules. We prove module versions of the results of Kirchberg and Choi-Effros. As a concrete example we extend the finite dimensional approximation properties of reduced C^*-algebras and von Neumann algebras on discrete groups to these operator algebras on inverse semigroups with the module structure coming from the action of the C^*-algebras on the subsemigroup of idempotents.

1. Introduction

Finite dimensional approximation properties of C^*-algebras is core subject in modern theory of operator algebras [4]. These include important notions such as nuclearity, exactness and weak expectation property (WEP). The results in this direction are obtained based on the classical extension and dilation results due to Arveson, Wittstock and Stinespring. Some of these results are also valid for C^*-module maps [20]. It is desirable then to consider the finite dimensional approximation properties of C^*-modules. The motivation is two fold: A finite dimensional approximation scheme for C^*-morphisms is as follows:



where A and B are C^*-algebras and φ_n and ψ_n are contractive completely positive (c.c.p.) maps. The case $A = B$ and $\theta = \mathrm{id}_A$ is of special interest.

There are situations that such an approximate decomposition is needed through $\mathbb{M}_{k_n}(N)$ for a C^*-algebra or von Neumann algebra N. One instance is the notion of a correspondence $H = {}_M H_N$ between von Neumann algebras M and N. It is shown that H is left amenable iff there is a net of c.p. maps $\theta_n : M \to M$ converging point-ultraweakly to the identity on M such that each $theta_n$ is a finite sum of compositions of $\psi_n : M \to \mathbb{M}_{k_n}(N)$ and $\varphi_n : \mathbb{M}_{k_n}(N) \to M$ of certain specific form [2, Theorem 2.2]. Therefore it seems worthwhile to study the possibility of such approximate decompositions in general. On the other hand, there are concrete examples where it is not know when a decomposition through $M_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$ exists. For instance, as far as I know, it is not known when the reduced C^*-algebra $C^*_r(S)$ of an

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A58, 46L08, 46L06.

Key words and phrases. finite dimensional approximation, C^*-correspondence, C^*-module, nuclear, exact, semidiscrete, module tensor product.

The author was partly supported by a grant from IPM (No. 94430215).

inverse semigroup S is nuclear (i.e., when the identity map on $C_r^*(S)$ approximately decomposes in point-norm through matrix algebras.) This is of course equivalent to the amenability of the corresponding universal groupoid, but equivalent conditions on S is only known in special cases (for instance, if S is E-unitary, it is known to be equivalent to the left amenability of S.) It is desirable to take some natural module structures on a suitable C^*-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ into account and find approximate decompositions through $M_{k_n}(\mathfrak{A})$. Here we show that under quite natural actions of the subsemigroup E_S of idempotents of S, $C_r^*(S)$ is a $C_r^*(E_S)$-module and a point-norm approximate decomposition of the identity map on $C_r^*(S)$ through algebras $M_{k_n}(C_r^*(E_S))$ is possible iff S is left amenable.

This paper studies finite dimensional approximation properties of C^*-modules in more details. The paper is organized as follows: In section 2 we introduce the notion of nuclear module morphisms for a C^*-algebra A which is C^*-module over another C^*-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ with compatible actions, and use it to define nuclearity and exactness in the category of C^*-modules. In section 3, we study tensor products in the category of C^*-modules and extend Takesaki theorem (Theorem 3.4) and "the trick" [4, section 3.6]. We also extends classical results of Kirchberg (Theorem 3.16) and Choi-Effros, Kirchberg (Theorem 3.19) in the module setting.

For the rest of this paper, we fix a C^*-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ and let A be a C^*-algebra and a right Banach $\mathfrak{A}$-module (that is, a module with contractive right action) with compatible conditions,

$$(a\cdot\alpha)^*=a^*\cdot\alpha^*,\ (ab)\cdot\alpha=a(b\cdot\alpha),\ a\cdot\alpha\beta=(a\cdot\alpha)\cdot\beta,$$

for each $a,b\in A$ and $\alpha,\beta\in\mathfrak{A}$. In this case, we say that A is a $\mathfrak{A}$-C^*-module, or simply a C^*-module (it is then understood that the algebra and module structures on A are compatible in the above sense). A C^*-subalgebra which is also an $\mathfrak{A}$-submodule is simply called a C^*-submodule.

Let $Z(A)$ be the center of A. An element $a\in A$ is called $\mathfrak{A}$-central if $a\cdot\alpha\in Z(A)$, for each $\alpha\in\mathfrak{A}$. We say that $\mathfrak{A}$ acts centrally on A, or A is a central $\mathfrak{A}$-module, if $Z(A)$ is a submodule of A. When $\mathfrak{A}$ is a unital C^*-algebra, we say that A is unital (as an $\mathfrak{A}$-module), if A is a unital C^*-algebra and a neo-unital $\mathfrak{A}$-module. In this case, A is a central $\mathfrak{A}$-module if and only if $1_A\cdot\alpha$ is a central element of A, for each $\alpha\in\mathfrak{A}$. this is also equivalent to the following compatibility condition:

$$(a\cdot\alpha)(b\cdot\beta)=(ab)\cdot(\alpha\beta),$$

for each $\alpha,\beta\in\mathfrak{A}$, each $a\in Z(A)$, and $b\in A$.

In some cases we have to work with operator $\mathfrak{A}$-modules with no algebra structure (and in particular with certain Hilbert $\mathfrak{A}$-modules). If E,F are operator $\mathfrak{A}$-modules, a module map $\phi:E\to F$ is a continuous linear map which preserves the right $\mathfrak{A}$-module action.

A Hilbert space H with a non-degenerate representation of $\mathfrak{A}$ in H is called an $\mathfrak{A}$-Hilbert space. We may regard H as a left $\mathfrak{A}$-module (where $\mathfrak{A}$ acts true the given representation). In this paper we work with two types of representations of operator $\mathfrak{A}$-modules. One is representation in left $\mathfrak{A}$-Hilbert C^*-modules and the other is representation in $\mathfrak{A}$-Hilbert spaces. We usually work with the latter, but from time to time, we make comments on how to handle the results in the former setting.

Throughout this paper, we use the notation $\mathbb{B}(H)$ to denote the set of bounded (adjointable) linear operators on an $\mathfrak{A}$-Hilbert space (Hilbert C^*-module) H. Note

that $\mathbb{B}(H)$ is a right $\mathfrak{A}$-C^*-module under the action $(T \cdot \alpha)(\xi) = T(\alpha \cdot \xi)$, for $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}, \xi \in H, t \in \mathbb{B}(H)$ (which is also central, when H is an $\mathfrak{A}$-Hilbert space).

2. NUCLEAR MODULE MAPS

Let A, B be operator $\mathfrak{A}$-modules and E be an operator system and operator $\mathfrak{A}$-module. In this section we define a notion of E-nuclearity for module maps $\theta : A \to B$. Two cases of particular interest are when $E = \mathfrak{A}$ or $\mathfrak{A}^{**}$.

We freely use the abbreviations and notations of [4], in particular, c.p., u.c.p., and c.c.p. stand for completely positive, unital completely positive, and contractive completely positive, respectively.

Definition 2.1. A module map $\theta : A \to B$ is called *E-nuclear* if there are c.c.p. module maps $\varphi_n : A \to \mathbb{M}_{k(n)}(E)$ and $\psi_n : \mathbb{M}_{k(n)}(E) \to B$ such that $\psi_n \circ \varphi_n \to \theta$ in point-norm topology, that is,

$$\|\psi_n \circ \varphi_n(a) - \theta(a)\| \to 0,$$

for each $a \in A$.

when B is a von Neumann algebra, $\theta : A \to B$ is called *E-weakly nuclear* if there are c.c.p. module maps $\varphi_n : A \to \mathbb{M}_{k(n)}(E)$ and $\psi_n : \mathbb{M}_{k(n)}(E) \to B$ such that $\psi_n \circ \varphi_n \to \theta$ in point-ultraweak topology.

A nuclear map is automatically c.c.p. The following lemma is proved similar to the classical case [4, Exercises 2.1.3-4,7-8].

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\theta : A \to B$ be a c.c.p. module map.*

(i) (restriction) If $C \subseteq A$ is a C^*-submodule and θ is E-nuclear, then so is its restriction $\theta|_C : C \to B$.

(ii) (dependence on range) If θ is E-nuclear and $D \subseteq B$ is a C^*-submodule with $\theta(A) \subseteq D$, then under any of the following conditions, $\theta : A \to D$ is E-nuclear:

$(ii$-1$)$ There is a conditional expectation $\mathbb{E} : B \to D$ which is also a module map,

$(ii$-2$)$ There is a sequence of c.c.p. module maps $\mathbb{E}_n : B \to D$ such that $\mathbb{E}_n \to id_D$ on D in the point-norm topology.

(ii) (composition) If C is a C^*-module and $\sigma : B \to C$ is a c.c.p. module map such that θ or σ is E-nuclear, then so is the composition $\sigma \circ \theta$.

In particular, if $id_A : A \to A$ is E-nuclear, then so is any c.c.p. module map $\theta : A \to B$, for any C^*-module B.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a von Neumann algebra and A be a von Neumann algebra and a module with compatible actions. Let H be an $\mathfrak{A}$-Hilbert space. Then any faithful representation $A \hookrightarrow \mathbb{B}(H)$ is $\mathfrak{A}$-weakly nuclear.*

Proof. Choose an increasing net $\{p_i\} \subseteq \mathbb{B}(H)$ of finite rank projections, converging to the identity in the strong operator topology such that $p_i\mathbb{B}(H)p_i$ could be identified with $\mathbb{M}_{n_i}(\mathfrak{A})$, with $n_i = \text{rank}(p_i)$, and the corresponding c.c.p. compression map $\varphi_i : A \to \mathbb{M}_{n_i}(\mathfrak{A})$ is a module map (c.f. [1, Theorem 3.8].) This composed with the inclusion map (after identification) $\psi_i : \mathbb{M}_{n_i}(\mathfrak{A}) \to \mathbb{B}(H)$ gives an approximate decomposition in the ultraweak topology of $\mathbb{B}(H)$. Indeed, by the proof of [14, Proposition 3.10], $\mathbb{B}(H) \subseteq (H \otimes \bar{H} \otimes \mathfrak{A}_*)^*$ and if we identify A with its image in $\mathbb{B}(H)$, for each $\xi, \eta \in H$ and $x \in A$,

$$(\xi \otimes \bar{\eta} \otimes \phi)(\psi_i \circ \varphi_i(x) - x) = \phi(\langle(\psi_i \circ \varphi_i(x) - x)\xi, \eta\rangle) \to 0,$$

as $i \to \infty$. The result now follows by a standard density argument. □

Remark. The above results also holds if H is a self dual right Hilbert $\mathfrak{A}$-module with a standard frame: $\mathbb{B}(H)$ is a von Neumann algebra by [14, Proposition 3.10], hence there is an increasing net $\{p_i\} \subseteq \mathbb{B}(H)$ of finite rank projections, converging to the identity in the strong operator topology. Identify $p_i\mathbb{B}(H)p_i$ with $\mathbb{M}_{n_i}(\mathfrak{A})$, and note that the corresponding c.c.p. map $\varphi_i : A \to \mathbb{M}_{n_i}(\mathfrak{A})$ is a module map (see the proof of [1, Theorem 3.8].)

Definition 2.4. Let A be a C^*-algebra and a left $\mathfrak{A}$-module with compatible actions, let E be an operator system and operator $\mathfrak{A}$-module. Then A is called *E-nuclear* if the identity map on A is E-nuclear. Also A is called *E-exact* if there is E-nuclear faithful representation $\pi : A \to \mathbb{B}(H)$, for some $\mathfrak{A}$-Hilbert space H. When $\mathfrak{A}$ is a von Neumann algebra and A is a von Neumann algebra and a module with compatible actions, then A is called *E-semidiscrete* if the identity map on A is E-weakly nuclear.

By Lemma 2.2(ii), if $\pi : A \to \mathbb{B}(H)$ is a faithful representation of A in an $\mathfrak{A}$-Hilbert space H, then A is E-nuclear (E-exact) if and only if π is E-nuclear (E-exact) as a module map from A to $\pi(A)$ (to $\mathbb{B}(H)$). In Definition 2.4, one may work with a left Hilbert $\mathfrak{A}$-module H, and the above statement also remains valid.

Definition 2.5. A c.p. module map $\theta : A \to B$ is called *E-factorable* if there is a positive integer n and c.p. module maps $\varphi : A \to \mathbb{M}_n(E)$ and $\psi : \mathbb{M}_n(E) \to B$ such that $\psi \circ \varphi = \theta$.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $\mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{A}}(A)$ be the Banach space of all bounded linear module maps on A, then*

(i) *each convex subset $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{A}}(A)$ has the same point-norm and point-weak closures in $\mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{A}}(A)$,*

(ii) *the set of E-factorable module maps from A to B is convex. The same holds for the set of module maps which are E-factorable through c.c.p. module maps.*

Proof. Like in the classical case [4, 2.3.4, 2.3.6], part (i) follows from Hahn-Banach theorem and part (ii) follows by standard algebraic manipulations. □

Lemma 2.7. *Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a von Neumann algebra, A is a unital C^*-algebra and a unital module, B be a von Neumann algebra and a module with compatible actions, and E be an injective von Neumann algebra and a unital and central left $\mathfrak{A}$-module with compatible actions. If $\theta : A \to B$ is an E-weakly nuclear module map, then there are u.c.p. module maps $\varphi_n : \tilde{A} \to \mathbb{M}_{k(n)}(E)$ and $\psi_n : \mathbb{M}_{k(n)}(E) \to B$ such that $\psi_n \circ \varphi_n \to \theta$ in the point-ultraweak topology.*

Proof. Let $\tilde{\varphi}_n : A \to \mathbb{M}_{k(n)}(E)$ and $\tilde{\psi}_n : \mathbb{M}_{k(n)}(E) \to B$ be c.c.p. module maps with $\tilde{\psi}_n \circ \tilde{\varphi}_n \to \theta$ in the point-ultraweak topology. By [1, Lemma 2.3], there are u.c.p. module maps $\varphi_n : A \to \mathbb{M}_{k(n)}(E)$ such that

$$\tilde{\varphi}_n(a) = \tilde{\varphi}_n(1_A)^{\frac{1}{2}}\varphi_n(a)\tilde{\varphi}_n(1_A)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

for $a \in A$. Let ρ_n be a state on $\mathbb{M}_{k(n)}(E)$ and for $x \in \mathbb{M}_{k(n)}(E)$, let

$$\psi_n(x) = \rho_n(x)\big(1_B - \tilde{\psi}_n(\tilde{\varphi}_n(1_A))\big) + \tilde{\psi}_n\big(\tilde{\varphi}_n(1_A)^{\frac{1}{2}}x\tilde{\varphi}_n(1_A)^{\frac{1}{2}}\big),$$

is a u.c.p. module map, and $\psi_n \circ \varphi_n$ converges to θ in point-ultraweak topology. □

Lemma 2.8. *Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a unital C^*-algebra, A is a unital C^*-algebra and a unital module, B be a von Neumann algebra and a central module, and E be a unital algebra and module, all with compatible actions. If $\theta : A \to B$ is a module map which could be approximated in point-ultraweak topology by E-decomposable maps, then θ is E-weakly nuclear.*

Proof. Take c.p. module maps $\varphi_n : A \to \mathbb{M}_{k(n)}(E)$ and $\psi_n : \mathbb{M}_{k(n)}(E) \to B$ such that $\psi_n \circ \varphi_n$ approximates θ in point-ultraweak topology. Let $M \subseteq \mathbb{B}(H)$, and use [4, 3.8.1] to get that the above sequence also approximates θ in point-SOT. By [1, Lemma 2.3], we may assume that φ_n is a u.c.p. module map. Then $b_n := \psi_n(1_A) \to 1_B$ in SOT. For $\delta > 0$, take $p_n := \chi_{[0,1+\delta]}(b_n) \in B$ and observe that $p_n(b_n - 1_B) \to 0$ in SOT, and so $1 - p_n \leq |\frac{1}{\delta}|1 - b_n| \to 0$ in SOT. Hence $p_n\psi_n \circ \varphi_n(\cdot) \to \theta$ in SOT. Take $\psi_n^{'} = p_n\psi_n(\cdot)p_n$ □

In the next theorem, we give A^{**} the canonical module structure via

$$\langle \alpha \cdot x^*, x\rangle = \langle x^*, x \cdot \alpha\rangle, \quad \langle x^{**} \cdot \alpha, x^*\rangle = \langle x^{**}, \alpha \cdot x^*\rangle,$$

for $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}, x \in A, x^* \in A^*$, and $x^{**} \in A^{**}$.

Theorem 2.9. *Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a von Neumann algebra. If A^{**} is $\mathfrak{A}$-semidiscrete then A is $\mathfrak{A}$-nuclear.*

Proof. Assume first that A is a unital C^*-algebra and a unital module. By Lemma 2.6, we need to show that, given $\varepsilon > 0$ and finite subsets $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq A$ and $\mathfrak{S} \subseteq A^*$, there is a positive integer n and c.c.p. module maps $\varphi : A \to \mathbb{M}_n(\mathfrak{A})$ and $\psi : \mathbb{M}_n(\mathfrak{A}) \to A$ with

$$|\eta(\psi \circ \varphi(a)) - \eta(a)| < \varepsilon, \quad (a \in \mathfrak{F}, \eta \in \mathfrak{S}).$$

By Lemma 2.7, there are u.c.p. module maps $\varphi^{'} : A^{**} \to \mathbb{M}_n(\mathfrak{A})$ and $\psi^{'} : \mathbb{M}_n(\mathfrak{A}) \to A^{**}$ with

$$|\eta(\psi^{'} \circ \varphi^{'}(a)) - \eta(a)| < \varepsilon, \quad (a \in \mathfrak{F}, \eta \in \mathfrak{S}).$$

By [1, Lemma 3.2(ii)], one can associate $\psi^{'}$ to some $\hat{\psi}^{'} \in \mathbb{M}_n(A^{**})_+$, in which $\mathbb{M}_n(A)_+$ is ultraweakly dense, and so there is a net of c.p. module maps $\psi_\lambda : \mathbb{M}_n(\mathfrak{A}) \to A$ with $\psi_\lambda \to \psi^{'}$ in point-ultraweak topology. Since $\psi_\lambda(1_{\mathbb{M}_n(\mathfrak{A})}) \to 1_A$ in the weak topology of A, replacing $\psi^{'}$ with an appropriate convex combination, we get a c.p. module map $\psi^{''} : \mathbb{M}_n(\mathfrak{A}) \to A$ with

$$\|1_A - \psi^{''}(1_{\mathbb{M}_n(\mathfrak{A})})\| < \varepsilon, \ |\eta(\psi \circ \varphi(a)) - \eta(a)| < \varepsilon, \quad (a \in \mathfrak{F}, \eta \in \mathfrak{S}).$$

Put $a = \psi^{''}(1_{\mathbb{M}_n(\mathfrak{A})})$, then we have the claimed inequality for the restriction φ of $\varphi^{'}$ to A and $\psi = \frac{1}{\|a\|}\psi^{''}$.

When A is not unital, consider the unital C^*-algebra and unital module $A \oplus \mathfrak{A}$, whose $\mathfrak{A}$-nuclearity is equivalent to that of A, and note that $J = A$ is an ideal in $B = A \oplus \mathfrak{A}$. Hence $B^{**} \cong J^{**} \oplus (B/J)^{**}$, and since $B/J \cong \mathfrak{A}$, we get $B^{**} \cong A^{**} \oplus \mathfrak{A}^{**}$, which is $\mathfrak{A}$-semidiscrete, and the result follows from the unital case. □

Proposition 2.10. *Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be an injective C^*-algebra.*

(i) If A is $\mathfrak{A}^{**}$-nuclear, then A is $\mathfrak{A}$-nuclear.

(ii) If A^{**} is $\mathfrak{A}^{**}$-semidiscrete then A is $\mathfrak{A}$-nuclear.

Proof. (i) Let $\tilde{\varphi}_n : A \to \mathbb{M}_{k(n)}(\mathfrak{A}^{**})$ and $\tilde{\psi}_n : \mathbb{M}_{k(n)}(\mathfrak{A}^{**}) \to A$ be c.c.p. module maps with $\tilde{\psi}_n \circ \tilde{\varphi}_n \to id_A$ in the point-norm topology. By a modification of [1, Lemma 3.6], we may write $\tilde{\varphi}_n \in CP_{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathbb{M}_{k_n}(A), \mathfrak{A}^{**})$ and $\tilde{\psi}_n \in CP_{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathfrak{A}^{**}, \mathbb{M}_{k_n}(A))$. Let ψ_n be the restriction of $\tilde{\psi}_n$ to $\mathfrak{A}$. Let $\mathbb{E} : \mathfrak{A}^{**} \to \mathfrak{A}$ be a conditional expectation (which is an $\mathfrak{A}$-module map) and put $\varphi_n = \mathbb{E} \circ \tilde{\varphi}_n$, then $\varphi_n : A \to \mathbb{M}_{k(n)}(\mathfrak{A})$ and $\psi_n : \mathbb{M}_{k(n)}(\mathfrak{A}) \to A$ are c.c.p. module maps with $\psi_n \circ \varphi_n \to id_A$ in the point-norm topology.

(ii) This does not follow from part (i) and the above theorem (since A and A^{**} are not $\mathfrak{A}^{**}$-modules). However, using the idea of a conditional expectation we may rewrite the proof of Theorem 2.9 to prove $\mathfrak{A}$-nuclearity of A. □

Remark. In part (ii) of the above proposition, we could conclude that A is $\mathfrak{A}$-nuclear when the canonical inclusion $\iota : A \hookrightarrow A^{**}$ is $\mathfrak{A}$-weakly nuclear (with almost the same proof.)

We prove the converses of Theorem 2.9 and Proposition 2.10(ii) in a subsequent section. Next let us give some classes of examples of nuclear and semidiscrete $\mathfrak{A}$-modules.

We say that A is locally E-nuclear if for each finite subset $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq A$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an E-nuclear C^*-subalgebra and submodule $B \subseteq A$ which contains $\mathfrak{F}$ within ε in norm.

Lemma 2.11. *If E is injective as an $\mathfrak{A}$-module, locally E-nuclearity is equivalent to E-nuclearity.*

Proof. If A is locally E-nuclear, given finite subsets $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq A$ and $\mathfrak{S} \subseteq A^*$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and B as above, let $\mathfrak{G} \subseteq B$ and $\mathfrak{T} \subseteq B^*$ be within ε in norm. Then there is a positive integer n and c.c.p. module maps $\varphi : B \to \mathbb{M}_n(E)$ and $\psi : \mathbb{M}_n(E) \to B$ with

$$|\eta(\psi \circ \varphi(b)) - \eta(b)| < \varepsilon, \quad (b \in \mathfrak{G}, \eta \in \mathfrak{T}).$$

Consider ψ as a map into A and extend φ to a c.c.p. module map $\tilde{\varphi}$ on A using the injectivity of $\mathbb{M}_n(E)$ [1, Corollary 3.9]. Then

$$|\xi(\psi \circ \tilde{\varphi}(a)) - \xi(a)| < 3\varepsilon, \quad (a \in \mathfrak{F}, \xi \in \mathfrak{S}).$$

□

If there is an inductive system of algebras of the form

$$\mathbb{M}_{n_1}(\mathfrak{A}) \oplus \cdots \mathbb{M}_{n_k}(\mathfrak{A})$$

with injective connecting module maps, the corresponding inductive limit (with its canonical $\mathfrak{A}$-module structure) is called an $\mathfrak{A}$-AF-algebra. When $\mathfrak{A}$ is an injective C^*-algebra (and so an injective $\mathfrak{A}$-module), it follows from the above lemma (and the fact that $\mathbb{M}_n(\mathfrak{A})$ is $\mathfrak{A}$-nuclear) that $\mathfrak{A}$-AF-algebras are $\mathfrak{A}$-nuclear. As the second example, consider a nuclear C^*-algebra B and let $\mathfrak{A}$ act on $A = \mathfrak{A} \otimes B$ from right by $(\beta \otimes b) \cdot \alpha = \beta\alpha \otimes b$, then it is easy to see that A is $\mathfrak{A}$-nuclear. As a more concrete example, in the next section we shall examine the nuclearity of C^*-algebras of inverse semigroups as modules on the C^*-algebra of their subsemigroup of idempotents.

When $\mathfrak{A}$ is a von Neumann algebra and B is a semidiscrete von Neumann algebra (in particular, if B is of Type I) then $A = \mathfrak{A} \bar{\otimes} B$ is $\mathfrak{A}$-semidiscrete. As another classes of examples, let us show that certain "Type I" modules are $\mathfrak{A}$-semidiscrete.

Lemma 2.12. *If H is an $\mathfrak{A}$-Hilbert space or a left Hilbert $\mathfrak{A}$-module and A is a von Neumann algebra and a $\mathfrak{A}$-module with compatible action, and there is a faithful representation $A \subseteq \mathbb{B}(H)$, preserving the module actions, and there is a net of projections $p_i \in A$ such that $p_i \to 1_H$ in the strong operator topology and each corner $p_i A p_i$ is $\mathfrak{A}$-semidiscrete, then so is A.*

Proof. Given finite subsets $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq A$ and $\mathfrak{S} \subseteq A_*$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we need to find a positive integer n and c.c.p. module maps $\varphi : A \to \mathbb{M}_n(\mathfrak{A})$ and $\psi : \mathbb{M}_n(\mathfrak{A}) \to A$ with

$$|\eta(\psi \circ \varphi(a)) - \eta(a)| < \varepsilon, \quad (a \in \mathfrak{F}, \eta \in \mathfrak{S}).$$

Choose a projection $p \in M$ such that pMp is $\mathfrak{A}$-semidiscrete and $\eta(1-p) < \varepsilon/4$, for each $\eta \in \mathfrak{S}$. There are c.c.p. module maps $\tilde{\varphi} : pAp \to \mathbb{M}_n(\mathfrak{A})$ and $\psi : \mathbb{M}_n(\mathfrak{A}) \to A$ with

$$|\eta(\psi \circ \tilde{\varphi}(pap)) - \eta(pap)| < \varepsilon/4, \quad (a \in \mathfrak{F}, \eta \in \mathfrak{S}).$$

The map $\varphi(a) := \tilde{\varphi}(pap)$ along with ψ have the required property. □

In the above situation, if H is a self dual Hilbert $\mathfrak{A}$-module with a standard frame, then there is a net $\{p_i\}$ of finite rank projections in $\mathbb{B}(H)$, converging to the identity in the strong operator topology (c.f., the proof of [1, Theorem 3.8]) and each corner $p_i\mathbb{B}(H)p_i$ is of the form $\mathbb{M}_{n_i}(\mathfrak{A})$ (the same holds for any $\mathfrak{A}$-Hilbert space) hence by the above lemma, $\mathbb{B}(H)$ is $\mathfrak{A}$-semidiscrete. A little effort then shows that "type I" modules of the form

$$\prod_{i \in I} B_i \bar{\otimes} \mathbb{B}(H_i)$$

where B_i is an abelian von Neumann algebra and H_i is a self dual Hilbert $\mathfrak{A}$-module with a standard frame of cardinality i, is $\mathfrak{A}$-semidiscrete. strictly speaking, these are not of Type I as a von neumann algebra (if $H = \mathfrak{A}$, then $\mathbb{B}(H) = \mathfrak{A}$), but they resemble type I objects in the category of $\mathfrak{A}$-modules. A similar argument shows that

$$\bigoplus_{i \in I} B_i \bar{\otimes} \mathbb{K}(H_i)$$

is $\mathfrak{A}$-nuclear, for commutative C^*-algebras B_i and is left Hilbert $\mathfrak{A}$-modules H_i with a standard frame.

3. TENSOR PRODUCTS

In this section we give tensor product characterizations of $\mathfrak{A}$-nuclearity and $\mathfrak{A}$-exactness. First we need to introduce the module versions of minimal and maximal tensor products.

Let A and B be C^*-algebras and right $\mathfrak{A}$-modules with compatible actions. Let $\pi : A \to \mathbb{B}(H)$ and $\sigma : B \to \mathbb{B}(K)$ be faithful representations in $\mathfrak{A}$-Hilbert spaces H and K which are also right module maps, and consider the interior tensor product $\bar{H} \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}} K$ [13, page 41] (here we use this notation for the completion, not just the algebraic tensor product). The same could be done for left Hilbert $\mathfrak{A}$-modules with the inner product

$$\langle \xi_1 \otimes \eta_1, \xi_2 \otimes \eta_2 \rangle = \langle \eta_1, \langle \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle \cdot \eta_2 \rangle.$$

Let $A \odot B^{op}$ be the algebraic tensor product of A with the opposite algebra of B and consider the faithful representation $\bar{\pi} \otimes \sigma^{op} : A \odot B^{op} \to \mathbb{B}(\bar{H}) \otimes \mathbb{B}(K)$ given by

$\bar{\pi}\otimes\sigma^{op}(a\otimes b)=\bar{\pi}(a)\otimes\sigma^{op}(b)$. Let $I_{\mathfrak{A}}$ be the two sided ideal of $A\odot B^{op}$ generated by elements of the form

$$a\cdot\alpha\otimes b-a\otimes\alpha\cdot_{op}b=a\cdot\alpha\otimes b-a\otimes b\cdot\alpha,$$

for $\alpha\in\mathfrak{A}, a\in A, b\in B$ and form the corresponding quotient $A\odot_{\mathfrak{A}}B^{op}:=(A\odot B^{op})/I_{\mathfrak{A}}$. Let us do the same thing for algebras of adjointable operators and write $\mathbb{B}(\bar{H})\odot_{\mathfrak{A}}\mathbb{B}(K):=(\mathbb{B}(\bar{H})\odot\mathbb{B}(K))/I$ for the corresponding ideal I. Now since $\bar{\pi}\otimes\sigma^{op}(I_{\mathfrak{A}})=I\cap\text{range}(\bar{\pi}\otimes\sigma^{op})$, we could lift $\bar{\pi}\otimes\sigma^{op}$ to a faithful representation

$$\bar{\pi}\otimes\sigma^{op}:A\odot_{\mathfrak{A}}B^{op}\to\mathbb{B}(\bar{H})\odot_{\mathfrak{A}}\mathbb{B}(K)\subseteq\mathbb{B}(\bar{H}\otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}K),$$

where the last inclusion is proved as in [4, 3.3.9]. For the finite sum $u=\sum_i a_i\otimes b_i\in A\odot_{\mathfrak{A}}B^{op}$ let us define the minimal norm of u by

$$\big\|\sum_i a_i\otimes b_i\big\|_{min}=\big\|\sum_i\bar{\pi}(a_i)\otimes\sigma^{op}(b_i)\big\|,$$

where the norm on the right hand side is in $\mathbb{B}(\bar{H}\otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}K)$. This is a well defined C^*-norm as we work with faithful representations, and the completion $A\otimes^{min}_{\mathfrak{A}}B^{op}$ of $A\odot_{\mathfrak{A}}B^{op}$ is a C^*-algebra and a right $\mathfrak{A}$-module, and we could extend $\bar{\pi}\otimes\sigma^{op}$ to a faithful representation $\bar{\pi}\otimes\sigma^{op}:A\otimes^{min}_{\mathfrak{A}}B^{op}\to\mathbb{B}(\bar{H}\otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}K)$. Moreover the right action of $\mathfrak{A}$ on $A\odot_{\mathfrak{A}}B^{op}$ is continuous in this norm and extends to a right action on $A\otimes^{min}_{\mathfrak{A}}B^{op}$ and the last representation is also a right module map.

Next we check the independence of the *min* norm from the faithful representations involved. Note that we could always faithfully represent A and B in appropriate $\mathfrak{A}$-Hilbert spaces.

Lemma 3.1. *The min-norm is independent of the choice of faithful representations $\pi:A\to\mathbb{B}(H)$ and $\sigma:B\to\mathbb{B}(K)$ in $\mathfrak{A}$-Hilbert spaces H and K.*

Proof. We need to check that changing σ to $\sigma^{'}:B\to\mathbb{B}(K^{'})$ does not effect the norm. Find an increasing net $\{p_i\}$ of finite rank (say n_i) projections in $\mathbb{B}(H)$ converging to the identity in the strong operator topology. Then $p_i\mathbb{B}(H)p_i\cong\mathbb{M}_{n_i}(\mathfrak{A})$ and there is a unique C^*-norm on $\mathbb{M}_{n_i}(\mathfrak{A})\odot_{\mathfrak{A}}B^{op}=\mathbb{M}_{n_i}(B^{op})$, thus

$$\big\|\sum_i(p_i\bar{\pi}(a_i)p_i)\otimes\sigma^{op}(b_i)\big\|=\big\|\sum_i(p_i\bar{\pi}(a_i)p_i)\otimes\sigma^{'op}(b_i)\big\|,$$

and taking supremum over i we get the result. □

The above lemma also holds for left Hilbert modules with a standard frame (the net $\{p_i\}$ in this case is found as in the proof of [1, Theorem 3.8].) The condition is automatic if H and K are countably generated or they are $\mathfrak{A}$-Hilbert spaces.

Similarly, we may define the maximal norm of $u=\sum_i a_i\otimes b_i\in A\odot_{\mathfrak{A}}B^{op}$ by

$$\big\|\sum_i a_i\otimes b_i\big\|_{max}=\sup\{\big\|\Pi(\sum_i a_i\otimes b_i)\big\|:\ \Pi\in Hom_{\mathfrak{A}}(A\odot_{\mathfrak{A}}B^{op},\mathbb{B}(H))\},$$

where the supremum ranges over all $\mathfrak{A}$-Hilbert spaces H and modules maps and $*$-homomorphisms Π. This is a C^*-norm and the completion $A\otimes^{max}_{\mathfrak{A}}B^{op}$ of $A\odot_{\mathfrak{A}}B^{op}$ is a C^*-algebra and a right $\mathfrak{A}$-module, and for each C^*-algebra and right $\mathfrak{A}$-module C with compatible actions, we could extend each $\Pi\in Hom_{\mathfrak{A}}(A\odot_{\mathfrak{A}}B^{op},C)$ to a (bounded) $*$-homomorphism and module map $\tilde{\Pi}\in Hom_{\mathfrak{A}}(A\otimes^{max}_{\mathfrak{A}}B^{op},C)$. When $\mathfrak{A}$ is a von Neumann algebra and A and B be von Neumann algebras and right $\mathfrak{A}$-modules with compatible actions, we may construct the von Neumann algebra and

right $\mathfrak{A}$-module $A\bar{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{A}}B^{op}$ with a similar universal property for each von Neumann algebras and right $\mathfrak{A}$-module C.

To treat the non unital modules, one should note that when $\mathfrak{A}$ is a unital C^*-algebra, as in [4, 3.3.12], for any C^*-norm ϱ on $A\odot_{\mathfrak{A}}B^{op}$ can be extended to a C^*-norm on $(A\oplus\mathfrak{A})\odot_{\mathfrak{A}}(B\oplus\mathfrak{A})^{op}$ and $(A\oplus\mathfrak{A})\otimes^{\varrho}_{\mathfrak{A}}(B\oplus\mathfrak{A})^{op}$ is a unital C^*-algebra and a unital module.

The following proposition follows from the independence of the min C^*-norm from the choice of faith C^*-representations and the universal property of max module-norm, note that here the right hand sides of the isomorphisms are not $\mathfrak{A}$-modules, as the module action on $A\odot B^{op}$ is not continuous in the min or max C^*-norms in general.

Proposition 3.2. *With the above notations, $A\otimes^{min}_{\mathfrak{A}}B^{op}\cong(A\otimes_{min}B^{op})/\overline{I_{\mathfrak{A}}}^{min}$ and $A\otimes^{max}_{\mathfrak{A}}B^{op}\cong(A\otimes_{max}B^{op})/\overline{I_{\mathfrak{A}}}^{max}$, as C^*-algebras.*

The next lemma is proved similar to the classical case [3, IV.3.1.1].

Lemma 3.3. *If A and B are C^*-algebras and $\mathfrak{A}$-modules with compatible actions, then $A\otimes^{min}_{\mathfrak{A}}B$ is $\mathfrak{A}$-nuclear iff both A and B are $\mathfrak{A}$-nuclear.*

Next, let us extend a result due to Takesaki [18] to the set up of C^*-modules. We say that a C^*-norm $\tilde{\varrho}$ on $A\odot_{\mathfrak{A}}B^{op}$ is a module norm if the module action of $\mathfrak{A}$ on $A\odot_{\mathfrak{A}}B^{op}$ is $\tilde{\varrho}$-continuous. In this case, $\tilde{\varrho}$ induces a C^*-norm ϱ on $A\odot B^{op}$ as follows: For $u=\sum_i a_i\otimes b_i\in A\odot B^{op}$, define

$$\varrho(u):=\sup\{\|\pi(u)\|:\ \pi(I_{\mathfrak{A}})=0,\ \tilde{\pi}=\tilde{\varrho}\text{-continuous module map}\},$$

where the supremum ranges over all representations $\pi:A\odot B^{op}\to\mathbb{B}(H)$ in a Hilbert space H, and $\tilde{\pi}(u+I_{\mathfrak{A}}):=\pi(u)$. After completion, $A\otimes^{\tilde{\varrho}}_{\mathfrak{A}}B^{op}\cong(A\otimes_{\varrho}B^{op})/\overline{I_{\mathfrak{A}}}^{\varrho}$. It follows from the above discussion that *min* and *max* are modules norms. The module version of the Takesaki theorem now asserts that *min* and *max* are minimum and maximum among module norms (like the *min* and *max* C^*-norms that are minimum and maximum among all C^*-norms).

Proposition 3.4 (Takesaki)**.** *For each module norm $\tilde{\varrho}$ on $A\odot_{\mathfrak{A}}B^{op}$, $\|\cdot\|_{min}\leq\tilde{\varrho}(\cdot)\leq\|\cdot\|_{max}$. In particular, $\tilde{\varrho}$ is a cross norm and there are canonical surjective $*$-homomorphisms and module maps $A\otimes^{max}_{\mathfrak{A}}B^{op}\to A\otimes^{\tilde{\varrho}}_{\mathfrak{A}}B^{op}\to A\otimes^{min}_{\mathfrak{A}}B^{op}$.*

Proof. The second inequality is clear. For the first, given $u\in A\odot B^{op}$, from Proposition 3.2, we have

$$\|u+I_{\mathfrak{A}}\|_{min}\leq\|u\|_{min}\leq\varrho(u)=\sup_{\pi}\|\pi(u)\|=\sup_{\pi}\|\tilde{\pi}(u+I_{\mathfrak{A}})\|\leq\tilde{\varrho}(u+I_{\mathfrak{A}}),$$

where both supremums run over the set of all representations π used in the definition of $\varrho(u)$. □

Next we could handle the problem of continuity of the maps on module tensor products using the module version of the Stinespring dilation theorem [1, Theorem 3.4] (and the remark after that.) The proof is similar to the classical case [4, 3.5.3, 3.5.6] and is omitted.

Proposition 3.5. *Let A,B,C, and D be C^*-algebras and right $\mathfrak{A}$-modules with compatible actions, let $\varphi:A\to C$ and $\psi:B\to D$ be c.p. module maps. Let $I_{\mathfrak{A}}\subseteq A\odot B^{op}$ and $I'_{\mathfrak{A}}\subseteq C\odot D^{op}$ be the ideals whose quotients give the associated*

module tensor products $A \odot_{\mathfrak{A}} B^{op}$ *and* $C \odot_{\mathfrak{A}} D^{op}$. *Then* $\varphi \otimes \psi(I_{\mathfrak{A}}) \subseteq I_{\mathfrak{A}}^{'}$ *and so we have a c.p. lift* $\varphi \otimes \psi : A \odot_{\mathfrak{A}} B^{op} \to C \odot_{\mathfrak{A}} D^{op}$. *This is continuous if both domain and range are endowed with the min or max module norms, and for the corresponding extensions we have*

$$\|\varphi \otimes_{max} \psi\| = \|\varphi \otimes_{min} \psi\| = \|\varphi\|\|\psi\|.$$

In particular, when φ *and* ψ *are c.c.p. module maps and* $\varphi_i : A \to C$ *are c.c.p. module maps converging to* φ *in point-norm topology, then* $\varphi_i \otimes_{min} \psi \to \varphi \otimes_{min} \psi$ *in the point-norm topology, and the same holds for the max norm.*

Note that the above result holds also for c.b. module maps for the minimal norm (but not for the maximal norm), and it fails for bounded or positive module maps. Let $tr_n : \mathbb{M}_n(\mathfrak{A}) \to \mathbb{M}_n(\mathfrak{A}); [\alpha_{ij}] \mapsto [\alpha_{ij}]^{tr} := [\alpha_{ji}^*]$ be the transpose map and $id_n :: \mathbb{M}_n(\mathfrak{A}) \to \mathbb{M}_n(\mathfrak{A})$ be the identity map. Then tr_n is a positive isometry, and it is unital when $\mathfrak{A}$ is unital. We have $\|tr_n\| = 1$ and $\|tr_n \otimes id_n\| \geq n$ (for the last inequality, assume that $\mathfrak{A}$ is unital. Let $\{e_{ij}\}$ be a system of matrix units for $\mathbb{M}_n(\mathfrak{A})$, where e_{ij} is a matrix with $1_{\mathfrak{A}}$ at the (i,j)-th position and 0 elsewhere. Consider the unitary element $u = \sum_{i,j} e_{ij} \otimes e_{ji} \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathfrak{A}) \odot \mathbb{M}_n(\mathfrak{A})$, then $(tr_n \otimes id_n)(u) = \sum_{i,j} e_{ji} \otimes e_{ji}$ and $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i,j} e_{ji} \otimes e_{ji}$ is a projection in $\mathbb{M}_n(\mathfrak{A}) \odot \mathbb{M}_n(\mathfrak{A})$.) Now for $A = \mathbb{K}(\ell^2 \otimes \mathfrak{A}) \oplus \mathfrak{A}$, the transpose map $tr : A \to A$ is a positive isometry with $tr \otimes id : A \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{min} A \to A \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{min} A$ unbounded (unless $\mathfrak{A} = 0$!)

Next we turn to the problem of inclusions. This is handled rather easily for the *min* norm: if $A \subseteq B$ and $C \subseteq D$ are C^*-subalgebras and submodules, we have $A \odot C^{op} \subseteq B \cdot D^{op}$ and the same holds for the corresponding ideals defining the algebraic module tensor products, hence $A \odot_{\mathfrak{A}} C^{op} \subseteq B \cdot_{\mathfrak{A}} D^{op}$. Now by Lemma 3.1 (and the remarks before that) the restriction of the *min* norm on the bigger algebra to the smaller one is the same as the original *min* norm on the latter. For the *max* norm such a general inclusion result fails, however we have it under certain natural extension conditions. We say that the inclusion $A \subseteq B$ is $\mathfrak{A}$-*extendable* if for every $\mathfrak{A}$-Hilbert space H and each non degenerate $*$-homomorphism and module map $\pi : A \to \mathbb{B}(H)$, there is a c.c.p. module map $\varphi : B \to \pi(A)^{''}$ extending π.

Proposition 3.6. *Let* B *and* D *be* C^**-algebras and right* $\mathfrak{A}$*-modules with compatible actions, and* $A \subseteq B$ *and* $C \subseteq D$ *are* C^**-subalgebras and submodules. Then*

(*i*) $A \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{min} C^{op} \subseteq B \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{min} D^{op}$,

(*ii*) $A \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{max} C^{op} \subseteq B \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{max} D^{op}$, *whenever both inclusions* $A \subseteq B$ *and* $C \subseteq D$ *are* $\mathfrak{A}$*-extendable.*

Proof. Part (*i*) is checked in the above paragraph. We only need to prove (*ii*) for the case $C = D$. By universality, there is a canonical $*$-homomorphism and module map $\psi : A \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{max} C^{op} \subseteq B \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{max} C^{op}$, we need to check this is faithful. Let $q : A \odot C^{op} \to A \odot_{\mathfrak{A}} C^{op} = (A \odot C^{op})/I_{\mathfrak{A}}$ be the quotient map. Take a faithful representation and module map $\pi : A \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{max} C^{op} \to \mathbb{B}(H)$, for some $\mathfrak{A}$-Hilbert space H and as in [4, 3.2.6], find representations $\pi_A : A \to \mathbb{B}(H)$ and $\pi_C : C \to \mathbb{B}(H)$ with $\pi \circ q = \pi_A \times \pi_C^{op}$ (these could be chosen to be module maps as well, as a careful inspection of the proof of the last citation shows.) Now $\pi_C(C) \subseteq \pi_A(A)^{'}$ and since $(\pi_A \times \pi_C^{op})(I_{\mathfrak{A}}) = 0$, we have

$$\pi_A(a \cdot \alpha)\pi_C(c) = \pi_A(a)\pi_C(c \cdot \alpha) \quad (\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}, a \in A, c \in C).$$

The commuting inclusions $\pi_C(C) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{B}(H)$ and $\pi_A(A)'' \hookrightarrow \mathbb{B}(H)$ give a representation and module map $\Pi : \pi_A(A)'' \odot \pi_C^{op}(C^{op}) \to \mathbb{B}(H)$. The above relation between π_A and π_C shows that Π vanishes on $I_{\mathfrak{A}}$ and so, by universality, extends to a representation and module map $\tilde{\Pi} : \pi_A(A)'' \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{max} \pi_C^{op}(C^{op}) \to \mathbb{B}(H)$. Finally extend π_A to a c.c.p. module map $\varphi : B \to \pi_A(A)''$ and observe that $\tilde{\Pi}(\varphi \otimes_{max} \pi_C^{op})\psi = \pi$. Therefore, ψ is injective as π is injective. □

Remark. In part (ii) of the above inclusion result, we may replace the condition that $A \subseteq B$ is $\mathfrak{A}$-extendable with any of the conditions that $\mathfrak{A}$ is injective and A is $\mathfrak{A}$-nuclear or the condition that A is a hereditary C^*-subalgebra of B and it has a bounded approximate identity consisting of central elements (we still need the other inclusion to be $\mathfrak{A}$-extendable.) To see this in the first case, observe that in the faithful representation $\pi : A \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{max} C^{op} \to \mathbb{B}(H)$ used in the proof of the part (ii), H is an $\mathfrak{A}$-Hilbert space. Consider $\pi_A : A \to \pi_A(A)$ and use $\mathfrak{A}$-nuclearity to approximately decompose it via the c.c.p. module maps $\varphi_n : A \to \mathbb{M}_{k(n)}(\mathfrak{A})$ and $\psi_n : \mathbb{M}_{k(n)}(\mathfrak{A}) \to \pi_A(A)$ in the point norm topology. se injectivity of $\mathfrak{A}$ to extend φ_n to a c.c.p. module map $\tilde{\varphi}_n$ on B and let $\varphi : B \to \pi_A(A)'' \subseteq \mathbb{B}(H)$ be a point-ultraweak cluster point of the sequence $\pi_A \circ \psi_n \circ \tilde{\varphi}_n$ and continue the proof of part (ii) as above. In the second case, again choose H as above and let $\{e_i\}$ be a bounded approximate identity of A in $Z(A)$ and consider $\mathrm{ad}_{e_i} : B \to A; b \mapsto e_i b e_i$, which is a module map (since e_i's are central.) Again for a point-ultraweak cluster point $\varphi : B \to \pi_A(A)'' \subseteq \mathbb{B}(H)$ of the net $\pi_A \circ \mathrm{ad}_{e_i}$, we could proceed as above.

Next we prove a module version of so called "the trick", used frequently in the literature of finite dimensional approximation [4, 3.6.5].

Lemma 3.7 (The Trick)**.** *Let B and C be C^*-algebras and right $\mathfrak{A}$-modules with compatible actions, and $A \subseteq B$ be a C^*-subalgebra and submodule. Let $\tilde{\varrho}$ be a module norm on $B \odot_{\mathfrak{A}} C^{op}$ and ϱ be its restriction to $A \odot_{\mathfrak{A}} C^{op}$. Let $\pi_A : A \to \mathbb{B}(H)$ and $\pi_C : C \to \mathbb{B}(H)$ be commuting representations and module maps in an $\mathfrak{A}$-Hilbert space H satisfying*

$$\pi_A(a \cdot \alpha)\pi_C(c) = \pi_A(a)\pi_C(c \cdot \alpha),$$

for each $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}, a \in A, c \in C$, such that (the lifting of) the corresponding product representation $\pi_A \times \pi_C : A \odot_{\mathfrak{A}} C^{op} \to \mathbb{B}(H)$ is ϱ-continuous, then π_A has a c.c.p. module map extension $\varphi : B \to \pi_C(C)'$.

Proof. First we assume that $\mathfrak{A}$ is a unital C^*-algebra and A, B and C are unital algebras and modules with $1_A = 1_B$. Consider the extension $\pi_A \times_\varrho \pi_C : A \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{\varrho} C^{op} \to \mathbb{B}(H)$. Since $A \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{\varrho} C^{op} \subseteq B \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{\tilde{\varrho}} C^{op}$, by the Arveson extension theorem [1, Theorem 3.8], there is a u.c.p. module map $\Phi : B \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{\tilde{\varrho}} C^{op} \to \mathbb{B}(H)$ extending $\pi_A \times_\varrho \pi_C$. Put $\varphi = \Phi(\cdot \otimes 1_C)$. Then $\Phi(1_B \otimes c) = \pi_C(c)$, for each $c \in C$, hence $\mathbb{C}1_B \otimes C^{op}$ lies in the multiplicative domain of Φ. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(b)\pi_C(c) &= \Phi(b \otimes 1_C)\Phi(1_B \otimes c) \\
&= \Phi\big((b \otimes 1_C)(1_B \otimes c)\big) \\
&= \Phi\big((1_B \otimes c)(b \otimes 1_C)\big) \\
&= \Phi(1_B \otimes c)\Phi(b \otimes 1_C) \\
&= \pi_C(c)\varphi(b),
\end{aligned}$$

for each $b \in B, c \in C$, that is, $\varphi(B) \subseteq \pi_C(C)'$.

Next let $\mathfrak{A}$ be unital and A, B and C be arbitrary, then using the discussion before Proposition 3.2 on extending norms on unitizations, we deduce the result from the unital case above (since representations on ideals extend to representations of the algebra and the extension remains a module map if the original map is so.) Finally, we could etend the module actions of $\mathfrak{A}$ to that of $\mathfrak{A} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ to handle the case where $\mathfrak{A}$ is not unital. □

We say that an inclusion $A \subseteq B$ is $\mathfrak{A}$-*weakly injective* if there is a c.c.p. module map $\varphi : B \to A^{**}$ extending the identity on A. As the first application of the trick, we show the following result.

Proposition 3.8. *For an inclusion $A \subseteq B$, the following are equivalent:*
(i) the inclusion is $\mathfrak{A}$-extendable,
(ii) the inclusion is $\mathfrak{A}$-weakly injective,
(iii) for every C^*-algebra C and right $\mathfrak{A}$-module with compatible action, we have the canonical inclusion $A \otimes^{max}_{\mathfrak{A}} C^{op} \subseteq B \otimes^{max}_{\mathfrak{A}} C^{op}$.

Proof. Each representation of A on an $\mathfrak{A}$-Hilbert space H extends to a normal representation of A^{**} in H and the extension is a module map if the original map is so. Therefore (i) and (ii) are equivalent. Also (i) implies (iii) by Proposition 3.6. Finally, (iii) implies (i) by the trick applied to $C = \pi(A)'$ and commuting representations $\pi : A \to \mathbb{B}(H)$ and $\iota : C \hookrightarrow \mathbb{B}(H)$. □

We say that A has $\mathfrak{A}$-*weak expectation property* (or simply A has $\mathfrak{A}$-WEP) if for every faithful representation and module map $A \subseteq \mathbb{B}(H)$ in an $\mathfrak{A}$-Hilbert space H, there is a u.c.p. module map $\varphi : \mathbb{B}(H) \to A^{**}$ extending the identity on A. The classical WEP is due to Christopher Lance [12]. The next lemma shows that $\mathfrak{A}$-WEP is independent of the choice of the faithful representation.

Lemma 3.9. *Assume that $\mathfrak{A}$ is unital. The following are equivalent:*
(i) A has $\mathfrak{A}$-WEP,
(ii) for each inclusion $A \subseteq B$ (as a subalgebra and submodule) and each C^*-algebra and right $\mathfrak{A}$-module C with compatible action, we have the canonical inclusion $A \otimes^{max}_{\mathfrak{A}} C^{op} \subseteq B \otimes^{max}_{\mathfrak{A}} C^{op}$.

Proof. If (i) holds with u.c.p. module map $\varphi : \mathbb{B}(H) \to A^{**}$ extending the identity on A, the inclusion $A \subseteq \mathbb{B}(H)$ extends by the Arveson extension theorem to a c.c.p. module map $\psi : B \to \mathbb{B}(H)$ and Proposition 3.8 applied to $\varphi \circ \psi$ gives (ii). When A is unital, the converse follows from the trick applied to $B = \mathbb{B}(H_U)$ and $C = (A^{**})' \subseteq \mathbb{B}(H_U)$, where $\{\pi_U, H_U\}$ is the universal Hilbert spaces of the C^*-algebra A, considered as an $\mathfrak{A}$-Hilbert space via the representation $\mathfrak{A} \to \mathbb{B}(H_U)$; $\alpha \mapsto \pi_U(\alpha \cdot 1_A)$. The non unital case follows by replacing A with $A \oplus \mathfrak{A}$ and noting that $(A \oplus \mathfrak{A})^{**} = A^{**} \oplus (\mathfrak{A})^{**}$. □

Proposition 3.10. *Let A and B be C^*-algebras and right $\mathfrak{A}$-modules with compatible actions and $\theta : A \to B$ be an $\mathfrak{A}$-nuclear module map. Then for each C^*-algebra and right $\mathfrak{A}$-module C with compatible action, the map $\theta \otimes_{max} id_C : A \otimes^{max}_{\mathfrak{A}} C^{op} \to B \otimes^{max}_{\mathfrak{A}} C^{op}$ factors through $A \otimes^{min}_{\mathfrak{A}} C^{op}$.*

Proof. Take c.c.p. module maps $\varphi_n : A \to \mathbb{M}_{k(n)}(\mathfrak{A})$ and $\psi_n : \mathbb{M}_{k(n)}(\mathfrak{A}) \to B$ such that $\psi_n \circ \varphi_n \to \theta$ in the point norm topology. Since there is a unique C^*-norm on

$\mathbb{M}_{k(n)}(\mathfrak{A}) \odot_{\mathfrak{A}} C$, it follows that $\varphi_n \otimes_{max} \mathrm{id}_C - (\varphi_n \otimes_{max} \mathrm{id}_C) \circ q \to 0$ in the point norm topology, where $q : A \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{max} C^{op} \to A \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{min} C^{op}$ is the canonical quotient module map. Consider

$$\Psi_n := (\psi_n \otimes_{max} \mathrm{id}_C) \circ (\varphi_n \otimes_{min} \mathrm{id}_C) : A \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{min} C^{op} \to B \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{max} C^{op},$$

then $\Psi_n \to \theta \otimes \mathrm{id}_C$ on $A \odot_{\mathfrak{A}} C^{op}$ in the point norm topology, thus $\theta \otimes \mathrm{id}_C : A \odot_{\mathfrak{A}} C^{op} \to B \odot_{\mathfrak{A}} C^{op}$ is contractive with respect to the *min* and *max* module norms on the domain and range, respectively, and so extends to a contractive module map $\Psi : A \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{min} C^{op} \to B \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{max} C^{op}$, and $\Psi_n - \Psi \to 0$ in the point norm topology, and so Ψ is a c.c.p. module map and we have the factorization $\theta \otimes_{max} \mathrm{id}_C = \Psi \circ q$. □

Corollary 3.11. *If A is an $\mathfrak{A}$-nuclear, for each C^*-algebra and right $\mathfrak{A}$-module C with compatible action, the max and min module tensor products $A \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{max} C^{op}$ and $A \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{min} C^{op}$ are isometrically isomorphic as C^*-algebras and modules. In particular, there is a unique module norm on $A \odot_{\mathfrak{A}} C^{op}$.*

Proof. Apply the above proposition to $\theta = \mathrm{id}_A$. □

Soon we would prove the converse of the above proposition and corollary.

Corollary 3.12. *If $A \subseteq \mathbb{B}(H)$ is an $\mathfrak{A}$-exact subalgebra and right submodule for an $\mathfrak{A}$-Hilbert space H, then for each C^*-algebra and right $\mathfrak{A}$-module C with compatible action, the restriction of the max module norm on $\mathbb{B}(H) \odot_{\mathfrak{A}} C$ to $A \odot_{\mathfrak{A}} C$ is the min module norm.*

Proof. The above proposition applied to the $\mathfrak{A}$-nuclear inclusion $A \subseteq \mathbb{B}(H)$ gives a c.c.p. module map $\Psi : A \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{min} C^{op} \to \mathbb{B}(H) \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{max} C^{op}$, extending the identity map on $A \odot_{\mathfrak{A}} C^{op}$. This is an injective $*$-homomorphism on a dense subset of the module *min* tensor product, and so it remains injective on the *min* completion (just by the definition of the *min* module norm.) □

We observed that the *min* module norm behaves better in preserving inclusions. Now we show that the *max* module norm is better in preserving exact sequences. As in the classical case [4, 3.7.1, 3.7.2], the proof of the next result is based on the fact that, for C^*-algebras and right $\mathfrak{A}$-modules A and B with compatible actions and closed ideal and submodule $J \trianglelefteq A$, there are module norms ϱ and δ such that

$$\frac{A \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{max} B^{op}}{J \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{max} B^{op}} \cong (A/J) \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{\varrho} B^{op}, \quad \frac{A \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{min} B^{op}}{J \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{min} B^{op}} \cong (A/J) \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{\delta} B^{op},$$

which is observed as follows: Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to J \to A \to A/J \to 0$$

with arrows both $*$-homomorphisms and module maps, then we get the exact sequence

$$0 \to J \odot_{\mathfrak{A}} B \to A \odot_{\mathfrak{A}} B \to (A/J) \odot_{\mathfrak{A}} B \to 0$$

and an embedding with dense range

$$(A/J) \odot_{\mathfrak{A}} B \cong \frac{A \odot_{\mathfrak{A}} B^{op}}{J \odot_{\mathfrak{A}} B^{op}} \hookrightarrow \frac{A \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{max/min} B^{op}}{J \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{max/min} B^{op}},$$

and the required module norms on $(A/J) \odot_{\mathfrak{A}} B$ are just the restriction of the quotient norms.

We say that the exact sequence

$$0 \to J \to A \xrightarrow{\pi} A/J \to 0$$

is *locally* $\mathfrak{A}$*-split* if for each finitely generated operator subspace and submodule $E \subseteq A/J$ there is a c.p. module map $\sigma : E \to A$ with $\pi \circ \sigma = \mathrm{id}_E$.

Proposition 3.13. *With the above notations, if*

$$0 \to J \to A \to A/J \to 0$$

is an exact sequence with arrows both $*$-homomorphisms and module maps, then for each C^*-algebra and right $\mathfrak{A}$-module B with compatible action,

(i) the sequence

$$0 \to J \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{max} B \to A \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{max} B \to (A/J) \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{max} B \to 0$$

is exact,

(ii) the sequence

$$0 \to J \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{min} B \to A \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{min} B \to (A/J) \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{min} B \to 0$$

is exact iff δ in the min module norm. In particular, this holds when A/J or B is $\mathfrak{A}$-nuclear or the original exact sequence is locally $\mathfrak{A}$-split.

As in the classical case [4, 3.7.8], we could get (ii) above even if B is $\mathfrak{A}$-exact.

Proposition 3.14. *The conclusion of part (ii) above also holds if $\mathfrak{A}$ is nuclear and B is $\mathfrak{A}$-exact.*

Proof. We need to show that the kernel of $A \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{min} B \to (A/J) \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{min} B$ is contained in $J \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{min} B$. Take any faithful representation and module map $B \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{B}(H)$ in an $\mathfrak{A}$-Hilbert space H and approximately decompose it through $\mathbb{M}_{k(n)}(\mathfrak{A})$ with c.c.p. module maps $\varphi_n : B \to \mathbb{M}_{k(n)}(\mathfrak{A})$ and $\psi_n : \mathbb{M}_{k(n)}(\mathfrak{A}) \to \mathbb{B}(H)$ and consider a diagram of three rows, where the first row is the exact sequence in part (ii) above and the second and third rows are the same sequence with B replaced with $\mathbb{M}_{k(n)}(\mathfrak{A})$ and $\mathbb{B}(H)$, respectively, and the vertical maps between the first and second row are the maps of the form $\mathrm{id}\otimes_{min}\varphi_n$ and those between the second and third row are of the form $\mathrm{id}\otimes_{min}\psi_n$, with id being id_J, id_A or $\mathrm{id}_{(A/J)}$ in the first to the third column. Since $\mathfrak{A}$ is nuclear, the second row is exact, hence for each x in the kernel of $A \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{min} B \to (A/J) \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{min} B$, $\mathrm{id}_J \otimes_{min} (\psi_n \circ \varphi_n)(x)$ is in $J \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{min} \mathbb{B}(H)$, and so $\mathrm{id}_J \otimes_{min} \pi(x)$ is in $(J \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{min} \mathbb{B}(H)) \cap (A \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{min} \pi(B)) = J \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{min} \pi(B)$. Therefore, $x \in J \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{min} B$, as π is faithful. □

Lemma 3.15. *Let A be C^*-algebra and a module with compatible actions, B be a von Neumann algebra and a module with normal compatible action, and $\theta : A \to B$ be a c.p. module map. If $B \subseteq \mathbb{B}(\bar{H})$ is a faithful representation and module map in an $\mathfrak{A}$-Hilbert space H and*

$$\theta \times \mathrm{id}_{(B')^{op}} : A \odot_{\mathfrak{A}} (B^{'})^{op} \to \mathbb{B}(H)$$

is min-continuous and $\pi : B \to \mathbb{B}(K)$ is a normal representation and module map in an $\mathfrak{A}$-Hilbert space K, then

$$(\pi \circ \theta) \times \mathrm{id}_{(\pi(B)')^{op}} : A \odot_{\mathfrak{A}} (\pi(B)^{'})^{op} \to \mathbb{B}(\bar{K})$$

is also min-continuous.

Proof. The normal representation π is implemented by cut-down by a projection in $(B \otimes^{min}_{\mathfrak{A}} (C1_K)^{op})' = B' \hat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{A}} \mathbb{B}(K)^{op} \subseteq \mathbb{B}(H \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}} K)$. Therefore, we only need to check that

$$\theta \otimes_{min} \mathrm{id} : A \odot_{\mathfrak{A}} (\pi(B)' \bar{\otimes} \mathbb{B}(K)^{op}) \to \mathbb{B}(H \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}} K)$$

is *min*-continuous. This is done, like in the classical case [4, 3.8.4], by cutting down $\mathbb{B}(K)$ by a net of finite rank projections and taking limit in SOT. □

Now we are ready to prove a module version of a result of Kirchberg [4, 3.8.5].

Theorem 3.16 (Kirchberg)**.** *Let A be C^*-algebra and a module with compatible action, B be a von Neumann algebra and a module with normal compatible action, and $\theta : A \to B$ be a c.p. module map. Let $B \subseteq \mathbb{B}(\bar{H})$ be a faithful representation and module map in an $\mathfrak{A}$-Hilbert space H. Then the following are equivalent:*

(i) θ is $\mathfrak{A}$-weakly nuclear,

(ii) the product map $\theta \times \mathrm{id}_{(B')^{op}} : A \odot_{\mathfrak{A}} (B')^{op} \to \mathbb{B}(H)$ is min-continuous.

Proof. If (i) holds and $\varphi_n : A \to \mathbb{M}_{k(n)}(E)$ and $\psi_n : \mathbb{M}_{k(n)}(E) \to B$ are c.c.p. module maps where $\psi_n \circ \varphi_n$ point-ultraweak approximates θ, then since there is a unique C^*-norm on $\mathbb{M}_{k(n)}(E) \odot B'$, we may form the composition Φ_n of the maps

$$\psi_n \times \mathrm{id}_{(B')^{op}} : \mathbb{M}_{k(n)}(E) \otimes^{max}_{\mathfrak{A}} (B')^{op} \to \mathbb{B}(H)$$

and

$$\varphi_n \otimes_{min} \mathrm{id}_{(B')^{op}} : A \otimes^{min}_{\mathfrak{A}} (B')^{op} \to \mathbb{M}_{k(n)}(E) \otimes^{min}_{\mathfrak{A}} (B')^{op},$$

whose point-ultraweak cluster point $\Phi : A \otimes^{min}_{\mathfrak{A}} (B')^{op} \to \mathbb{B}(H)$ is a c.c.p. module map, extending $\theta \times \mathrm{id}_{(B')^{op}} : A \odot_{\mathfrak{A}} (B')^{op} \to \mathbb{B}(H)$.

Conversely, if (ii) holds, by Lemma 2.8, we need to show that θ is point-ultraweak close to $\mathfrak{A}$-factorable maps. Fix finite sets $F = \{a_1, \cdots, a_k\} \subseteq A$ and $S = \{\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_m\} \subseteq B_*$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Put $\tau = \frac{1}{m}(\tau_1 + \cdots \tau_m)$. By Radon-Nikodym theorem [4, 3.8.3], there are elements $c_1, \cdots, c_m \in \pi_\tau(B)'$ with $\tau_j = \langle \pi_\tau(\cdot) c_j \hat{1}_B, \hat{1}_B \rangle$, for $1 \leq j \leq m$, where $\pi_\tau : B \to \mathbb{B}(L^2(B, \tau))$ is the GNS construction of τ. Since B is dense in $L^2(B, \tau)$ and $\|\cdot\|_2 \leq \|\cdot\|$ on B, the right module action of $\mathfrak{A}$ on B extends to an action on $L^2(B, \tau)$, and the representation: $\mathfrak{A} \to \mathbb{B}(L^2(B, \tau)); \alpha \mapsto \pi_\tau((\alpha \cdot 1_B)\hat{})$ gives the structure of an $\mathfrak{A}$-Hilbert space to $L^2(B, \tau)$, whose conjugate space $L^2(B^{op}, \tau)$ is an $\mathfrak{A}$-Hilbert space, and $\pi_\tau : B \to \mathbb{B}(L^2(B^{op}, \tau))$ is a right module map. By Lemma 3.15, we get the product map

$$(\pi_\tau \circ \theta) \times \mathrm{id}_{(B')^{op}} : A \odot^{min}_{\mathfrak{A}} (B')^{op} \to \mathbb{B}(L^2(B^{op}, \tau)).$$

Let us define the state $\tilde{\tau}$ on $A \odot^{min}_{\mathfrak{A}} (B')^{op}$ by $\tilde{\tau}(a \otimes c) = \langle \pi_\tau(\theta(a)) c \hat{1}_B, \hat{1}_B \rangle$, then $\tilde{\tau}(a \otimes c_j) = \tau_j(\theta(a))$, for $1 \leq j \leq m$.

Let Take a faithful representation $A \subseteq \mathbb{B}(K)$ in an $\mathfrak{A}$-Hilbert space K with $A \cap \mathbb{K}(K) = 0$, and apply the Glimm's Lemma [4, 1.4.11] to the faithful representation $A \odot^{min}_{\mathfrak{A}} (B')^{op} \subseteq \mathbb{B}(K \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}} L^2(B^{op}, \tau))$ to find $\{\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_n\} \subseteq K$ and $\{b_1, \cdots, b_n\} \subseteq B$ with

$$|\tilde{\tau}(a_i \otimes c_j) - \langle a \otimes c_j(\xi), \xi \rangle| < \varepsilon \quad (1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq m),$$

for $\xi = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \otimes \hat{b}_i$. Let $p \in \mathbb{B}(K)$ be the orthogonal projection onto the subspace generated by ξ_i's and consider the c.p. map $\psi : p\mathbb{B}(K)p \to B$ defined by $\psi(\xi_i \otimes \xi_\ell) =$

$b_i^*b_\ell$, extended linearly and continuously, then

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_j(\psi(pap)) &= \sum_{i,\ell=1}^{n} \langle a\xi_\ell, \xi_i\rangle \tau_j(b_i^*b_\ell)\\ &= \sum_{i,\ell=1}^{n} \langle a\xi_\ell, \xi_i\rangle \langle \pi_\tau(b_i^*b_\ell)c_j\hat{1}_B, \hat{1}_B\rangle\\ &= \sum_{i,\ell=1}^{n} \langle a\xi_\ell, \xi_i\rangle \langle c_j\hat{b}_\ell, b_i^*\rangle\\ &= \langle a\otimes c_j(\xi), \xi\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

for $1 \le j \le m$, with $\xi = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \otimes \hat{b}_i$ as above. Thus $|\tau_j(\theta(a)) - \tau_j(\psi(pap))| < \varepsilon$, as required. □

Corollary 3.17. *Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a von Neumann algebra and A be a von Neumann algebra and $\mathfrak{A}$-module with compatible normal action. let $A \subseteq \mathbb{B}(\bar{H})$ be a faithful representation and module map in an $\mathfrak{A}$-Hilbert space H. Then the following are equivalent:*

(i) A is $\mathfrak{A}$-semidiscrete,

(ii) $\mathrm{id}_A \times \mathrm{id}_{(A')^{op}} : A \odot_{\mathfrak{A}} (A')^{op} \to \mathbb{B}(H)$ is min-continuous,

(iii) A' is $\mathfrak{A}$-semidiscrete.

As another application, we could prove the converse of Proposition 3.18.

Corollary 3.18. *Let A and B be C^*-algebras and right $\mathfrak{A}$-modules with compatible actions and $\theta : A \to B$ be a module map. Then θ is $\mathfrak{A}$-nuclear iff for each C^*-algebra and right $\mathfrak{A}$-module C with compatible action, the map $\theta\otimes_{max} id_C : A \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{max} C^{op} \to B \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{max} C^{op}$ factors through $A \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{min} C^{op}$.*

Proof. Consider the universal representation of B, $\iota : B \subseteq B^{**} \subseteq \mathbb{B}(H_U)$, where H_U has the structure of an $\mathfrak{A}$-Hilbert space as in the proof of Lemma 3.9. The map $\theta\otimes_{max}\mathrm{id}_{((B^{**})')^{op}}$ factors through the corresponding *min*-tensor product, and composing with the continuous inclusion:$B \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{max} ((B^{**})')^{op} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{B}(H_U)$, we get that $\theta \times \mathrm{id} : A \odot_{\mathfrak{A}} ((B^{**})')^{op} \to \mathbb{B}(H_U)$ is *min*-continuous, and so $\theta : A \to B^{**}$ is $\mathfrak{A}$-nuclear. Since the map already ranges in B, it is also $\mathfrak{A}$-nuclear as a map into B. □

Now we are ready to prove the module version of Choi-Effros [7] and Kirchberg [10].

Theorem 3.19 (Choi-Effros, Kirchberg)**.** *Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be an injective C^*-algebra and A be C^*-algebra and a module with compatible action, The following are equivalent:*

(i) A is $\mathfrak{A}$-nuclear,

(ii) for any C^*-algebra and module B with compatible action, there is a unique module norm on $A \odot_{\mathfrak{A}} B$.

Proof. By Corollary 3.11, *(i)* implies *(ii)*. Conversely consider the canonical inclusion $\iota : A \to A^{**} \subseteq \mathbb{B}(H)$, then the product map $\iota \times \mathrm{id} : A \odot_{\mathfrak{A}} ((A^{**})')^{op} \to \mathbb{B}(H)$ is *max*-continuous, and so by *(ii)* it is also *min*-continuous, hence ι is $\mathfrak{A}$-weakly nuclear, by the above theorem. Now *(i)* follows from the Remark after Proposition 2.10. □

Now we turn to $\mathfrak{A}$-exact modules, and extend a well known result of Kirchberg on exact C^*-algebras [11]. We need some preparation first.

Lemma 3.20. *Let $C \subseteq A$ be an operator subsystem and submodule and $J \trianglelefteq B$ be a closed ideal and submodule, then there is an isometric inclusion*

$$(C \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{min} B)/(C \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{min} J) \subseteq (A \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{min} B)/(A \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{min} J),$$

preserving the module actions.

Proof. The inclusion is isometric as in the classical case [4, 3.9.2]. It is also a module map, as the inclusion $C \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{min} B \subseteq A \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{min} B$ is so. □

Lemma 3.21. *Let $J \trianglelefteq B$ be a closed ideal and submodule, then*

$$(A \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{min} B)/(A \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{min} J) \cong A \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{min} (B/J),$$

canonically, iff the same holds for A replaced by any operator subsystem and finitely generated submodule $C \subseteq A$.

Proof. If there is a canonical isomorphism as above for all operator subsystems and finitely generated submodules $C \subseteq A$, then the same holds for A, since the union of all quotients $(C \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{min} B)/(C \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{min} J)$ with C as above is dense in $(A \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{min} B)/(A \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{min} J)$. The converse follows from the above lemma. □

Lemma 3.22. *Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a unital C^*-algebra, $C \subseteq A$ be an operator subsystem and a finitely generated submodule, and B_n be unital C^*-algebras and unital modules with compatible actions and put $B_0 := \bigoplus_n B_n \subseteq B := \prod_n B_n$, then there is a u.c.p. module map and isometric isomorphism of C^*-algebras*

$$C \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{min} B \to \prod_n (C \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{min} B_n)$$

sending $C \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{min} B_0$ to $\bigoplus_n (C \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{min} B_n)$. In particular, there is a contractive module map $\prod_n (C \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{min} B_n)/\bigoplus_n (C \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{min} B_n) \to C \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{min} (B/B_0)$, which is an isometric isomorphism of C^*-algebras when min-tensoring with C preserves the exactness of short exact sequences

$$0 \to J \to A \to A/J \to 0$$

with arrows both $*$-homomorphisms and module maps, for each C^*-algebra and right $\mathfrak{A}$-module B with compatible action and each closed ideal and submodule J.

Proof. The proof goes as in the classical case [4, 33.9.4-5] with representations and module maps $B_n \subseteq \mathbb{B}(\bar{H}_n)$ and $A \subseteq \mathbb{B}(K)$, for $\mathfrak{A}$-Hilbert spaces H_n and $\mathfrak{A}$-Hilbert space K via the identification $\mathbb{B}(K \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}} \oplus_n H_n) \cong \mathbb{B}(\oplus_n (K \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}} H_n)$. □

Next let A be a separable C^*-algebra and countably generated right $\mathfrak{A}$-module with compatible action and $A \subseteq \mathbb{B}(H)$ be a faithful representation and module map in a separable $\mathfrak{A}$-Hilbert space (or a countably generated left Hilbert $\mathfrak{A}$-module) H, and $\{p_i\}$ be an increasing sequence of finite rank projections in $\mathbb{B}(H)$ converging strongly to the identity (c.f. the proof of [1, Theorem 3.8]) and identify $p_i\mathbb{B}(H)p_i$ with $\mathbb{M}_{n_i}(\mathfrak{A})$. Consider $C_i := p_i C p_i$ and $\mathrm{ad}_i : x \in C \mapsto p_i x p_i$.

Lemma 3.23. *If $\mathfrak{A}$ is an exact unital C^*-algebra A is a unital module such that min-tensoring with A preserves the exactness of short exact sequences $0 \to J \to A \to A/J \to 0$ as above, then $\left\|\mathrm{ad}_i^{-1}|_{C_i}\right\|_{cb} \to 1$, as $i \to \infty$ and there are u.c.p. module maps $\psi_i : C_i \to A$ with $\left\|\psi_i - \mathrm{ad}_i^{-1}|_{C_i}\right\|_{cb} \to 0$, as $i \to \infty$.*

Proof. If the assertion does not hold, we may assume that the above *cb*-norm tends to some $\lambda > 1$. By the definition of the *cb*-norm, there is an increasing sequence $\{k_i\}$ of positive integers and norm one elements $c_i \in \mathbb{M}_{k_i}(C)$ with $\|(\mathrm{ad}_i \otimes \mathrm{id}_{k_i})(c_i)\| \to \frac{1}{\lambda}$. Let $c = (c_n) + \bigoplus_i \mathbb{M}_{k_i}(C)$ and $\tilde{c}$ be the image of c in

$$C \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{min} \big(\prod_i \mathbb{M}_{k_i}(\mathfrak{A}) / \bigoplus_i \mathbb{M}_{k_i}(\mathfrak{A})\big) \subseteq \mathbb{B}(H \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}} K),$$

under the map in Lemma 3.22, for some $\mathfrak{A}$-Hilbert space (or left Hilbert $\mathfrak{A}$-module) K, and $\|\tilde{c}\| = \sup_k \|(\mathrm{ad}_k \otimes_{min} \mathrm{id})(\tilde{c})\|$ where the right norm is in $M := \mathbb{M}_k(\mathfrak{A}) \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{min} (\prod_i \mathbb{M}_{k_i}(\mathfrak{A}) / \bigoplus_i \mathbb{M}_{k_i})$. By exactness of $\mathfrak{A}$, it follows from [4, 3.9.5] that

$$M \cong \big(\prod_i \mathbb{M}_k(\mathbb{M}_{k_i}(\mathfrak{A}))\big) / \big(\bigoplus_i \mathbb{M}_k(\mathbb{M}_{k_i}(\mathfrak{A}))\big),$$

for each k, thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{c}\| &= \sup_k \|(\mathrm{ad}_k \otimes_{min} \mathrm{id})(\tilde{c})\| \\ &\leq \sup_k \limsup_i \|(\mathrm{ad}_k \otimes_{min} \mathrm{id}_{k_i})(c_i)\| \\ &\leq \limsup_i \|(\mathrm{ad}_i \otimes_{min} \mathrm{id}_{k_i})(c_i)\| \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda} < \|c\|, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. The last statement follows from the fact that

$$\big\|\psi_i - \mathrm{ad}_i^{-1}|_{C_i}\big\|_{cb} \leq 2(dim_{\mathfrak{A}} C)\big(\big\|\mathrm{ad}_i^{-1}|_{C_i}\big\|_{cb} - 1\big),$$

which is proved similar to [4, B.11]. □

Theorem 3.24 (Kirchberg)**.** *Consider the following statements:*
(*i*) *A is $\mathfrak{A}$-exact,*
(*ii*) *for any exact sequence*

$$0 \to J \to B \to B/J \to 0$$

with arrows both $*$-homomorphisms and module maps, and each object a C^*-algebra and right $\mathfrak{A}$-module with compatible action, the sequence

$$0 \to J \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{min} A \to B \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{min} A \to (B/J) \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}}^{min} A \to 0$$

is exact.

Then (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii), when $\mathfrak{A}$ is nuclear, and (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i), when $\mathfrak{A}$ is exact.

Proof. The first implication is proved in Proposition 3.14. For the other implication, first assume that $\mathfrak{A}$ is unital and A is a separable C^*-algebra and a countably generated unital right $\mathfrak{A}$-module. Take a an operator subsystem and finitely generated submodule $C \subseteq A$ and take $\psi_i : C_i \to A$ as in the above lemma. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{B}(H)$ be a faithful representation and module map in an $\mathfrak{A}$-Hilbert space H and use the module version of the Arveson extension theorem [1, Theorem 3.8] to u.c.p. module maps $\tilde{\psi}_i : \mathbb{M}_{n_i}(\mathfrak{A}) \to \mathbb{B}(H)$ and $\mathrm{ad}_i : C \to \mathbb{M}_{n_i}(\mathfrak{A})$ with

$$\|\tilde{\psi}_i(\mathrm{ad}_i(x)) - x\| = \|\psi_i(\mathrm{ad}_i(x)) - \mathrm{ad}_i^{-1}(\mathrm{ad}_i(x))\| \to 0,$$

as $i \to \infty$. Since this could be done for each finitely generated submodule C, we conclude that A is $\mathfrak{A}$-exact. The non separable case follows from the fact that if all separable, countably generated unital submodules of A are $\mathfrak{A}$-exact, then so is A.

Finally the non unital case follow by considering first $A \oplus \mathfrak{A}$ instead of A and then $\mathfrak{A} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ instead of $\mathfrak{A}$. □

We conclude this paper by a concrete application of the results of this section to the C^*-algebra of an inverse semigroup. We refer the reader to [9] for more details on these C^*-algebras. We know that for a discrete group G, the reduced C^*-algebra $C^*_r(G)$ is nuclear iff G is amenable. We show that for an inverse semigroup S with the subsemigroup E_S of idempotents, the reduced C^*-algebra $C^*_r(S)$ is $C^*_r(E_S)$-nuclear iff S is left amenable (see [8] for the notion of amenability of semigroups.)

Consider the equivalence relation $s \sim t$ iff there is $e \in E_S$ with $es = et$ and let $[s]$ be the equivalence class of $s \in S$, then $G_S := \{[s] : s \in S\}$ is the maximal group homomorphic image of S and it is a classical result of Duncan and Namioka that S is left amenable iff G_S is amenable [15]. The surjective semigroup homomorphism $s \in S \mapsto [s] \in G_S$ lifts to a surjective C^*-homomorphism $\pi_r : C^*_r(S) \to C^*_r(G_S)$ whose kernel is the closed ideal J_r of $C^*_r(S)$ generated by elements of the form $\delta_t - \delta_s$, for $s, t \in S$ satisfying $es = et$ for some $e \in E_S$. Similarly, there is a surjective C^*-homomorphism $\pi_r : C^*(S) \to C^*(G_S)$ whose kernel is the closed ideal J of $C^*(S)$ generated by elements of the above form. Also the left action $E_S \times S \to S$; $(e, s) \mapsto es$ lifts to a right action $\ell^1(S) \times E_S \to \ell^1(S)$ defined by $f \cdot e(s) = f(es)$ for $f \in \ell^1(S)$. This is continuous in the reduced C^*-norm and extends to a right action of E_S on $C^*_r(S)$. Finally, $C^*_r(S)$ becomes a right $C^*_r(E_S)$-module. If B is any C^*-algebra and right $C^*_r(E_S)$-module with compatible actions then

$$C^*_r(S) \odot_{C^*_r(E_S)} B^{op} \cong (C^*_r(S) \odot B^{op})/I,$$

where I is the ideal generated by elements of the form $\delta_{es} \otimes b - \delta_s \otimes b \cdot \delta_e$, for $e \in E_S, s \in S$ and $b \in B$. Let I_{min} be the *min*-closure of I, then the above algebraic isomorphism lifts to a C^*-isomorphism and module map $C^*_r(S) \otimes^{min}_{C^*_r(E_S)} B^{op} \cong (C^*_r(S) \otimes_{min} B^{op})/I_{min}$. A similar argument also works for the full C^* algebras and $C^*(S)$ is a right $C^*(E_S)$-module, and $C^*(S) \otimes^{max}_{C^*_r(E_S)} B^{op} \cong (C^*(S) \otimes_{max} B^{op})/I_{max}$. Note that since E_S is an abelian semigroup, $C^*_r(E_S)$ is a commutative C^*-algebra, hence it is nuclear (and so exact). The spectrum of this commutative C^*-algebra is the space $\hat{E}_S$ of semi-characters on E_S.

Proposition 3.25. *For an inverse semigroup S with the set of idempotents E_S and maximal group homomorphic image G_S,*

(i) $C^*_r(S)$ is $C^*_r(E_S)$-nuclear iff S is left amenable,

(ii) if $C^*_r(S)$ is $C^*_r(E_S)$-exact then G_S is exact.

Proof. (i) Let B be a C^*-algebra. We consider B as a $C^*_r(E_S)$-module with trivial left action. With the above notations, let $es = et$, then since $\delta_e \cdot b = b$, we have

$$(\delta_t - \delta_s) \otimes b = (\delta_t \otimes \delta_e \cdot b - \delta_{et} \otimes b) - (\delta_s \otimes \delta_e \cdot b - \delta_{es} \otimes b) \in I_{min}.$$

Conversely, $\delta_t \otimes \delta_e \cdot b - \delta_{et} \otimes b = (\delta_t - \delta_{et}) \otimes b \in J_r \otimes_{min} B$. Therefore, $I_{min} = J_r \otimes_{min} B$ and

$$\begin{aligned} C^*_r(S) \otimes^{min}_{C^*_r(E_S)} B &\cong (C^*_r(S) \otimes_{min} B)/I_{min} \\ &\cong (C^*_r(S) \otimes_{min} B)/(J_r \otimes_{min} B) \\ &\cong (C^*_r(S)/J_r) \otimes_{min} B) \\ &\cong C^*_r(G_S) \otimes_{min} B. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $I_{max} = J \otimes_{max} B$ and $C^*(S) \otimes^{max}_{C^*(E_S)} B \cong C^*(G_S) \otimes_{max} B$. If $C^*_r(S)$ is $C^*_r(E_S)$-nuclear, then by Theorem 3.19, $C^*(S) \otimes^{max}_{C^*(E_S)} B \cong C^*(S) \otimes^{min}_{C^*(E_S)} B$ and so $C^*_r(G_S) \otimes_{max} B \cong C^*_r(G_S) \otimes_{min} B$, hence by the classical Choi-Effros Kirchberg theorem [4, 3.8.7], $C^*_r(G_S)$ is nuclear, thus G_S is amenable and by a classical result of Duncan-Namioka [15], S is left amenable.

Conversely, if S is left amenable, then G_S is amenable and $C^*_r(G_S)$ is nuclear. Take $B = C^*_r(E_S)$ in the above calculation to get

$$C^*_r(S) \otimes^{min}_{C^*_r(E_S)} C^*_r(E_S) \cong C^*_r(G_S) \otimes_{min} C^*_r(E_S).$$

Note that here the isomorphism clearly preserves the module actions on both sides and so this is also an isomorphism of $C^*_r(E_S)$-modules, however, since $C^*_r(E_S)$ acts trivially on itself, and so the left hand side is not isomorphic to $C^*_r(S)$. Now the identity map $\mathrm{id} : C^*_r(G_S) \to C^*_r(G_S)$ is point norm limit of $\psi_n \circ \varphi_n$ for some c.c.p. maps $\varphi_n : C^*_r(G_S) \to \mathbb{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$ and $\psi_n : \mathbb{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C}) \to C^*_r(G_S)$. Tensoring with the identity map on $C^*_r(E_S)$, $\mathrm{id}_{C^*_r(G_S)} \otimes_{min} \mathrm{id}_{C^*_r(E_S)}$ is point-norm approximated by the composition $(\psi_n \otimes_{min} \mathrm{id}_{C^*_r(E_S)}) \circ (\varphi_n \otimes_{min} \mathrm{id}_{C^*_r(E_S)})$, which gives an approximate factorization through $\mathbb{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{min} C^*_r(E_S) = \mathbb{M}_{k_n}(C^*_r(E_S))$, thus $C^*_r(G_S) \otimes_{min} C^*_r(E_S)$ is $C^*_r(E_S)$-nuclear, and so is $C^*_r(S) \otimes^{min}_{C^*_r(E_S)} C^*_r(E_S)$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.3, $C^*_r(S)$ is also $C^*_r(E_S)$-nuclear.

(ii) Let B be a C^*-algebra and J be a closed ideal in B. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to J \to B \to B/J \to 0$$

with arrows $*$-homomorphisms. Regard this as an exact sequence of $C^*_r(E_S)$-modules with trivial actions. If $C^*_r(S)$ is $C^*_r(E_S)$-exact, then the sequence

$$0 \to C^*_r(S) \otimes^{min}_{C^*_r(E_S)} J \to C^*_r(S) \otimes^{min}_{C^*_r(E_S)} B \to C^*_r(S) \otimes^{min}_{C^*_r(E_S)} (B/J) \to 0,$$

which is the same as

$$0 \to C^*_r(G_S) \otimes_{min} J \to C^*_r(G_S) \otimes_{min} B \to C^*_r(G_S) \otimes_{min} (B/J) \to 0,$$

is exact. By a classical result of Kirchberg [4, 3.9.1], $C^*_r(G_S)$ is exact and so G_S is an exact group. □

I don't know if the converse of (ii) is true. If G_S is exact, there is a Hilbert space H and a faithful nuclear representation $C^*_r(G_S) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{B}(H)$, and we have the nuclear inclusion

$$C^*_r(G_S) \otimes_{min} C^*_r(E_S) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{B}(H) \otimes_{min} C^*_r(E_S)$$

and the inclusion

$$\mathbb{B}(H) \otimes_{min} C^*_r(E_S) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{B}(H \otimes C^*_r(E_S)).$$

By an argument similar to that of part (i) above, the inclusion

$$C^*_r(S) \otimes^{min}_{C^*_r(E_S)} C^*_r(E_S) \hookrightarrow B(H \otimes C^*_r(E_S))$$

is $C^*_r(E_S)$-nuclear. If there is a c.p. module map inclusion

$$C^*_r(S) \hookrightarrow C^*_r(S) \otimes^{min}_{C^*_r(E_S)} C^*_r(E_S)$$

then $C^*_r(S)$ would be $C^*_r(E_S)$-exact.

Corollary 3.26. *If S is left amenable, then $C^*_r(S)$ has $C^*_r(E_S)$-WEP.*

Proof. By the above proposition, $C_r^*(S)$ is $C_r^*(E_S)$-nuclear. Now the result follows from Lemma 3.9 (which also works for non unital $\mathfrak{A}$) and the Remark after Proposition 3.6. □

Again I don't know if the converse holds. If $C_r^*(S)$ has $C_r^*(E_S)$-WEP, by Lemma 3.9, the inclusion $\iota : C_r^*(S) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{B}(\ell^2(S))$ induces a module map inclusion $\iota \otimes \mathrm{id} : C_r^*(S) \otimes^{max}_{C_r^*(E_S)} C_r^*(S) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{B}(\ell^2(S)) \otimes^{max}_{C_r^*(E_S)} C_r^*(S)$ (note that the left regular representation: $C_r^*(E_S) \to \mathbb{B}(\ell^2(E_S)) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{B}(\ell^2(S))$ gives $\ell^2(S)$ the structure of an $\mathfrak{A}$-Hilbert space.) If λ and ρ are the left and right regular representations of S, then $\lambda \times \rho : C_r^*(S) \otimes_{max} C_r^*(S) \to \mathbb{B}(\ell^2(S))$ does not satisfy the conditions of the trick in general. If S is a Clifford semigroup, then E_S lies is in the center of S and for each $\xi \in \ell^2(S)$ we have

$$(\lambda(es)\rho(s) - \lambda(s)\rho(es))(\xi)(t) = \xi(s^*ets) - \xi(s^*tes) = 0,$$

when $tt^* \leq ess^*$, and the left hand side is equal to $0-0 = 0$, otherwise. Applying the trick to $\pi_A = \lambda$, $\pi_C = \rho$, $B = \mathbb{B}(\ell^2(S))$ and $\varrho = max$, we get a c.c.p. module map extension $\varphi : \mathbb{B}(\ell^2(S)) \to \rho(C^*(S))'$ of λ. Since the ranges of λ and ρ commute, we have $\rho(C^*(S))' = \lambda(C^*(S))'' := L(S)$, and φ extends the identity map on $C_r^*(S)$. Hence $L(S)$ is an injective von Neumann algebra. We know that for any inverse semigroup S, this holds when all maximal subgroups of S are amenable [15, Theorem 4.5.2], but the converse is not known to be true in general [15, Page 209]. Also in general, the amenability of all maximal subgroups do not imply left amenability of S (for instance, the free inverse semigroup on two generators has trivial maximal subgroups.) Here we have the advantage that S is a Clifford semigroup and there is a norm one projection: $L(S) \to L(S_e)$ for any maximal subgroup $S_e = \{s \in S : ss^* = s^*s = e\}$, for $e \in E_S$. Hence $L(S_e)$ is injective and so S_e is an amenable (discrete) group. This is known to be equivalent to the weak containment property for S, that is, to $C^*(S) = C_r^*(S)$ [16].

References

[1] M. Amini, Extensions and dilations of module maps, preprint.

[2] C. Anantharaman-Delaroche, Amenable correspondences and approximation properties for von neumann algebras, *Pacific J. Math.* **171** (1995), 309-341.

[3] B. Blackadar, *Operator Algebras: Theory of C*-Algebras and von Neumann Algebras*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences **122**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 2006.

[4] N. P. Brown and N. Ozawa, *C^*-algebras and Finite-dimensional Approximations*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2008.

[5] M.-D. Choi, A Schwarz inequality for positive linear maps on C^*-algebras, *Illinois J. Math.* **18** (1974), 565-574.

[6] M.-D. Choi and E. G. Effros, Infective and operator spaces, *J. Funct. Anal.* **24** (1977), 156-209.

[7] M.-D. Choi and E. G. Effros, Nuclear C^*-algebras and the approximation property, *Amer J. Math.* **100** (1978), 61-79.

[8] M. M. Day, Amenable semigroups, *Illinois J. Math.* **1** (1957), 509-544.

[9] J. Duncan and A. L. T. Paterson, C^*-algebras of inverse semigroups, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* **28** (1985), 41-58.

[10] E. Kirchberg, C^*-nuclearity implies CPAP, *Math. Nachr.* **76** (1977), 203-212.

[11] E. Kirchberg, On subalgebras of the CAR-algebra, *J. Func. Anal.* **129** (1995), 35-63.

[12] E. C. Lance, On nuclear C^*-algebras, *J. Func. Anal.* **12** (1973), 157-176.

[13] E. C. Lance, *Hilbert C^*-Modules: A Toolkit for Operator Algebraists*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, Vol. 210, Cambridge University Press, 1995.

[14] W. L. Paschke, Inner product modules over B^*-algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **182** (1973), 443-468.

[15] A. L. T. Paterson, *Groupoids, Inverse Semigroups, and their Operator Algebras*, Progress in Mathematics 70, Birkhaüser, Boston, 1999.

[16] A. L. T. Paterson, Weak containment and Clifford semigroups, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh* **81A** (1978), 23-30.

[17] C.-Y. Suen, Completely bounded maps on C^*-algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **93** (1985), 81-87.

[18] M. Takesaki, On the cross-norm of the direct product of C^*-algebras, *Tohoku Math. J.* **16** (1964), no. 1, 111-122.

[19] G. Wittstock, Ein operatorwertiger Hanh-Banach Satz, *J. Funct. Anal.* **40** (1981), 127-150.

[20] G. Wittstock, Extension of completely bounded C^*-module homomorphisms, in: Conf. on Operator Algebras and Group Representations (Neptun 1980), pp. 238-250, Pitman, New York, 1983.

Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran 14115-134, Iran, mamini@modares.ac.ir

School of Mathematics, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran 19395-5746, Iran, mamini@ipm.ir